

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/419,300 | 10/15/1999 | PHIL-TAE KIM | P55862 | 1028 |
| 7: | 590 04/04/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| ROBERT E BUSHNELL ATTORNEY AT LAW | | | ABDULSELAM, ABBAS I | |
| | ET N W SUITE 300 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHINGTON, DC 200051202 | | | 2629 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/04/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | 09/419,300 | KIM, PHIL-TAE | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Abbas I. Abdulselam | 2629 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)[🗆 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 J | lanuary 2006. | | | | |
| · | | s action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allower | ance except for formal matters, pro | osecution as to the merits is | | | |
| , | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | 4) Claim(s) <u>1,2,17,25,26,29-31,33-43,45-49,51 and 53-60</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) <u>1,2,17,25,26,29-31,33-43,45-49,51 and 53-56</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | |
| 5)🖂 | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>57</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7)🖂 | 7) Claim(s) <u>58-60</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8)[| Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | |
| 10) | 0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| _ | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a |)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documen | ts have been received. | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documen | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price | * | ed in this National Stage | | | |
| | application from the International Burea | , ,,, | | | | |
| * 8 | See the attached detailed Office action for a list | t of the certified copies not receive | ed. | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | |
| _ | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notic | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail D | ate | | | |
| | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed with respect to claim 57 on 01/03/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that a new independent claim 57 is similar to previously allowed claim and has "less language while being distinguished from the prior art". However, the new claim 57 as interpreted by the examiner had a different scope and a previously applied reference, Bertram (USPN 5198802) reads over it as shown in the art rejection below. Particularly, Bertram does not specifically teach "pressing a button on a remote controller". On the other hand, Bertram teaches the use of either a keyboard (21) or a mouse (13) (col. 5, lines 37-39).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the input device (13, 21) by an alternative input device that is functionally equivalent because it is well known to utilize a variety of input device fro the purpose of cursor manipulation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 57 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bertram (USPN 5198802).

Application/Control Number: 09/419,300 Page 3

Art Unit: 2629

Regarding claim 57, Bertram teaches a method comprising providing a display displaying

21-40) said indicator being located within one menu item in said menu; (col. 5, lines 37-40, Fig.

an indicator and a menu comprising a plurality of menu items; (Fig. 2A (1, 7) and col. 5, lines

2A (information) and col. 5, lines 27) and pressing a button on a remote controller (see the

rational below) causing a size of said menu on said display to change while causing said

indicator to be automatically repositioned within the changed menu (col. 5, lines 40-43, col. 5,

lines 45-47 and Fig. 2B (17)).

Bertram does not specifically teach "pressing a button on a remote controller". On the other hand, Bertram teaches the use of either a keyboard (21) or a mouse (13) (col. 5, lines 37-39).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the input device (13, 21) by an alternative input device that is functionally equivalent because it is well known to utilize a variety of input device fro the purpose of cursor manipulation.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-2, 17, 25-26, 29-31, 33-43, 45-49, 51 and 53-56 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 58-60 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/419,300 Page 4

Art Unit: 2629

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Abbas I. Abdulselam whose telephone number is 571-272-7685. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M.

6. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached on 571-272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Abbas Abdulselam

Examiner

Art Unit 2629

March 29, 2006

RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER